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|  |
| --- |
| **OVERVIEW OF THE OUTPUT & RESULT INDICATORS OF THE GREECE-NORTH MACEDONIA IPA CBC PROGRAMME 2021-2027** |
| **Priorities** | **Specific Objective**  | **Output Indicators** | **Result Indicators** |
| 1. Transition to a low carbon economy
 | **RSO2.6.** Promoting the transition to a circular and resource efficient economy | RCO01 | RCR81 |
| RCO02 | RCR101 |
| RCO107 | RCR84 |
| RCO87 |  |
| RCO115 |  |
| **RSO2.7.** Enhancing protection and preservation of nature, biodiversity and green infrastructure, including in urban areas, and reducing all forms of pollution | RCO84 | RCR104 |
| RCO115 | RCR84 |
| RCO87 |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Priorities** | **Specific Objective** | **Output Indicators** | **Result Indicators** |
| 2. Strategic focus on Prespa area | **RSO3.2.** Developing and enhancing sustainable, climate resilient, intelligent and intermodal national, regional and local mobility, including improved access to TEN-T and cross-border mobility | RCO81 | RCR84 |
| RCO115 | GSR61 |
| RCO87 |  |
| GSO61 |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Priorities** | **Specific Objective** | **Output Indicators** | **Result Indicators** |
| 3. Support and Upgrade of Health and Social Services | **RSO4.5.** Ensuring equal access to health care and fostering resilience of healthy systems, including primary care, and promoting the transition from institutional to family- and community-based care  | RCO69 | RCR73 |
| RCO81 | RCR72 |
| RCO87 | RCR84 |
| **RSO4.6.** Enhancing the role of culture and sustainable tourism in economic development, social inclusion and social innovation | RCO77 | RCR77 |
| RCO81 | RCR84 |
| RCO115 |  |
| RCO87 |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Priorities** | **Specific Objective** | **Output Indicators** | **Result Indicators** |
| 4. Improving governance for cooperation | **ISO 1. Other actions to support better cooperation governance** | RCO81 | RCR84 |
| RCO115 |  |
| RCO87 |  |

**OUTPUT INDICATORS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF (Interreg) |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO87** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Organisations cooperating across borders** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO87 Interreg: Organisations cooperating across borders |
| 3 | Measurement unit | organisations |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts the organisations cooperating formally in supported projects. The organisations counted in this indicator are the legal entities including project partners and associated organizations, as mentioned in the financing agreement of the application. Organisations cooperating formally in small projects (for instance under a Small Project Fund) are alsocounted. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | Upon project finalisation |
| 13 | Aggregation issues | At programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level ofproject partners and associated organizations. |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | RCO87 may be used together with RCO118, where relevant.In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO87 is intended be used together with RCR84. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF JTF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO01** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Enterprises supported (of which: micro, small, medium, large)** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO01 Firms: All firms |
| 3 | Measurement unit | enterprises |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts all enterprises that receive monetary or in-kind support from ERDF and Cohesion Fund.The enterprise is the smallest combination of legal units that is an organisational unit producing goods and services, which benefits from a certain degree of autonomy in decision making, especially for the allocation of its current resources. An enterprise carries out one or more activities at one or more locations. An enterprise may be a sole legal unit. Legal units include legal persons whose existence is recognised by law independently of the individuals or institutions which may own them or are members of them, such as general partnerships, private limited partnerships, limited liability companies, incorporated companies etc.Legal units as well include natural persons who are engaged in an economic activity in their own right, such as the owner and operator of a shop or a garage, a lawyer or a self-employed handicrafts-person. (ESTAT in references, based on Council Regulation (EEC) No. 696/93, Section III A of 15.03.1993)For the purpose of this indicator, enterprises are profit-oriented organisations that produce goods and services to satisfy market needs. For Policy Objective 4 (Social EuropeEurope closer to its citizens), the indicator includes also social enterprises defined by the Commission (DG GROW) as follows: “a social enterprise is an operator in the socialeconomy whose main objective is to have a social impact rather than make a profit for their owners or shareholders. It operates by providing goods and services for the market in an entrepreneurial and innovative fashion and uses its profits primarily to achieve social objectives.”Classification of enterprises:**Micro enterprise** (<= 10 employees and annual turnover ≤ EUR 2 million, or balance sheet ≤ EUR 2 million);**Small enterprise** (10-49 employees and annual turnover >EUR 2 million - |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF JTF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO02** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Enterprises supported by grants** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO02 Firms: Grant aided |
| 3 | Measurement unit | enterprises |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | Number of enterprises receiving monetary support in the form of grants. For the definition of an enterprise see RCO01. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | Completion of output financed by the grant support. |
| 13 | Aggregation issues | Rule 1: Double counting removed at the level of the specific objective An enterprise is counted once regardless how many times it receivessupport from operations in the same specific objective. |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References | See RCO01 |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | Use together with indicator RCO01. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF (Interreg) |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO84** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO84 Interreg: Pilot actions developed and implemented jointly |
| 3 | Measurement unit | pilot action |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts the pilot actions developed jointly and implemented by supported projects. The scope of a jointly developed pilot action could be to test procedures, new instruments, tools, experimentation or the transfer of practices. In order to be counted by this indicator,* the pilot action needs not only to be developed, but also implemented within the project

and* the implementation of the pilot action should be finalised by the end of the project.

Jointly developed pilot action implies the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in its implementation or is implemented in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or Eas defined in the Interreg Regulation. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | Upon project finalisation |
| 13 | Aggregation issues | Should a project foresee over the course of its implementation both the jointly development of strategies or action plans and their implementation through jointly developed pilot actions or jointly developed solutions, indicators RCO84 may be used together with RCO83 and/or RCO116 beused. |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | This indicator can also be used in the framework of strand 4 and 5 as defined by the Interreg Regulation.In case RCO84 is used together with RCO83 and/or RCO116, the common result indicators which may be used are RCR79 and/or RCR104. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO77** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Number of cultural and tourism sites supported** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO77 Number of cultural and tourism sites supported |
| 3 | Measurement unit | cultural and tourism sites |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | Number of cultural and tourism sites supported by the Funds. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | Upon completion of output in supported project |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF JTF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO69** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Capacity of new or modernised health care facilities** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO69 Health: Capacity of health care facilities |
| 3 | Measurement unit | persons/year |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | PO4 Social Europe and under JTF |
| 9 | Specific objective | RSO4.5 Access to health care and under JTF |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The maximum annual number of persons that can be served by the new or modernised health care facility at least once during a period of one year. Healthcare facilities include hospitals, clinics, outpatient care centers, specialized care centers etc.Modernisation does not include energy renovation or maintenance and repairs. |
| 11 | Data collection | Supported projects |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | When the respective medical services of the new or modernised healthcarefacility supported are operational. |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator | CCO20 |
| 17 | Notes |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF (Interreg) |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO81** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Participations in joint actions across borders** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO81 Interreg: Participation in joint actions across borders |
| 3 | Measurement unit | participations |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts the number of participations in joint actions across borders implemented in the supported projects. Joint actions across borders could include, for instance, exchange activities or exchange visits organized with partners across borders. Participations (i.e. number of persons attending a joint action across borders - e.g. citizens, volunteers, students, pupils, public officials, etc.) are counted for each joint action organised on the basis of attendance lists or other relevant means of quantification.A joint action is considered as the action organised with the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) or is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.Participations in public events organized in supported projects should not be counted in this indicator. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | Upon project finalisation |
| 13 | Aggregation issues | Potential overlap with RCO82 and RCO85. See the "Notes" section for further clarifications on how to avoid double counting and multiplereporting. |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | Participations in public events should not be counted in RCO81. The number of public events organized in supported projects should be reported by RCO115. When reporting on RCO81, the participations in internal project meetings of the partners should not be counted. Values reported under this indicator shall not be reported under RCO82 or RCO85. Participations in joint training schemes for which the training organisers intend to record the confirmed completions / intend to issue certificates of completion should not be counted in RCO81, but in RCO85.In order to avoid double counting of participations, the joint actions relevant for this indicator (i.e. RCO81) should not have the main topics linked to gender equality, equal opportunities and social exclusion, as the participations in these events are counted by RCO82.In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO81 may be used together with RCR85. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF CF JTF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO107** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Investments in facilities for separate waste collection** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO107 Circular: Investments in separate waste collection |
| 3 | Measurement unit | euro |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | PO2 Greener Europe and under JTF |
| 9 | Specific objective | RSO2.6 Circular economy and under JTF |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | Total investments in supported projects for facilities for separate waste collection. Separate collection means the collection where a waste stream is kept separately by type and nature so as to facilitate a specific treatment(see Directive 2008/98/EC in references). |
| 11 | Data collection | Supported projects |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | Upon completion of output in the supported project |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References | Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council onwaste |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF (Interreg) |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCO115** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Public events across borders jointly organised** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCO115 Interreg: Public events across borders jointly organised |
| 3 | Measurement unit | events |
| 4 | Type of indicator | output |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | >=0 |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts the number of events across border which were jointly organised by the partners in supported projects or by interregional programmes, and not the number of participations in public events.A public event across borders is understood as a joint action which has been adverstised through relevant means, to the general public of the area covered by the programme.A joint action is considered as the action organised with the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) or is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.A public event across borders should have participants from at least two countries of the programme area. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | Upon project finalisation |
| 13 | Aggregation issues | - |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | The participation of the project staff in public events is not sufficient forensuring the condition of participants from at least two countries of the programme area. |

**GSO 61 Number of public buildings supported (for the Strategic Project)**

**RESULT INDICATORS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF (Interreg) |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCR84** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Organisations cooperating across borders after project completion** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCR84 Interreg: Organisations cooperating post-project |
| 3 | Measurement unit | organisations |
| 4 | Type of indicator | result |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | not required |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts the organisations cooperating across borders after the completion of the supported projects. The organisations are legal entities involved in project implementation, counted within RCO87. The cooperation concept should be interpreted as having a statement that the entities have a formal agreement to continue cooperation, after the end of the supported project. The cooperation agreements may be established during the implementation of the project or within one year after the projectcompletion. The sustained cooperation does not have to cover the same topic as addressed by the completed project. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system / Survey |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | During project implementation / up to one year after project completion |
| 13 | Aggregation issues | At programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level ofproject partners and associated organizations. |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR84 may be used together with RCO87.The value reported for RCR84 can be equal to or lower than the value of RCO87, but not higher. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF (Interreg) |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCR104** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCR104 Interreg: Solutions taken up or up-scaled |
| 3 | Measurement unit | solutions |
| 4 | Type of indicator | result |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | not required |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts the number of solutions, other than legal or administrative solutions, that are developed by supported projects and are taken up or upscaled during the implementation of the project or within one year after project completion. The organisation adopting the solutions developed by the project may or may not be a participant in the project.The uptake / up-scaling should be documented by the adopting organisations in, for instance, strategies, action plans etc. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system / Survey |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | During project implementation / up to one year after project completion |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR104 may be usedtogether with RCO116 and/or RCO83 and/or RCO84. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF (Interreg) |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCR81** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Completion of joint training schemes** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCR81 Interreg: Completion of joint training schemes |
| 3 | Measurement unit | participants |
| 4 | Type of indicator | result |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | not required |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | The indicator counts the number of participants completing the joint trainings schemes organised by supported projects. Completion should be documented by the training organisers either through a record of the confirmed completions or by issuing certificates of completion of the training.The certificates of completion do not necessarily require a previous national certification process of the issuing organisation. |
| 11 | Data collection | MA monitoring system |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | At project completion |
| 13 | Aggregation issues | Double counting of participants in more than one training schemesorganised by the same project should be excluded. |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes | In terms of links with common output indicators, indicator RCR81 is intended to be used together with RCO85.The value reported for RCR81 can be equal to or lower than the value of RCO85 (for example in case some participants withdraw after the training started or in case not not all participants receive the final certification), but not higher. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCR77** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Visitors of cultural and tourism sites supported** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCR77 Visitors of cultural and tourism sites |
| 3 | Measurement unit | visitors/ year |
| 4 | Type of indicator | result |
| 5 | Baseline | >=0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | not required |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | Estimated number of annual visitors of cultural and tourism sites supported. The estimation of the number of visitors should be carried out ex post one year after the completion of the intervention. The baseline of the indicator refers to the estimated annual number of visitors of the supported sites the year before the intervention starts, and it is zero for new cultural and tourism sites.The indicator does not cover natural sites for which an accurate estimation of number of visitors is not feasible. |
| 11 | Data collection | Supported projects |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | One year after the completion of output in the supported project. |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective*Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).* |
| 15 | References | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective*Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).* |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF JTF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCR01** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Jobs created in supported entities** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCR01 Jobs created in supported entities |
| 3 | Measurement unit | annual FTEs |
| 4 | Type of indicator | result |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | not required |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF |
| 9 | Specific objective | Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant and JTF |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | Number of jobs expressed in average annual full time equivalents (FTEs) created in line of activity supported by the project. The new positions need to be filled, and they can be full time, part time or recurring seasonally.Vacant positions are not counted. Moreover, the newly created positions are expected to be retained for more than one year after project completion. The indicator is calculated as the difference between the annual FTEs filled before the project starts and one year after the project completion in the line of activity supported.Annual FTE is defined as the ratio of working hours effectively worked during a calendar year divided by the total number of hours conventionally worked in the same period by an individual or a group. By convention a person cannot perform more than one FTE on an annual basis. The number of hours conventionally worked is determined on the basis of normative/ statutory working hours according to the national legislation.A full time person will be identified with reference to their employment status and the type of contract (full time or part time).This indicator should not be used to cover research jobs, which should be reported under RCR102. |
| 11 | Data collection | Supported projects |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | One year after the completion of output of the supported project. |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF JTF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCR72** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Annual users of new or modernised e-health care services** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCR72 Health: Annual users of e-health care services |
| 3 | Measurement unit | users/year |
| 4 | Type of indicator | result |
| 5 | Baseline | >=0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | not required |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | PO4 Social Europe and under JTF |
| 9 | Specific objective | RSO4.5 Access to health care and under JTF |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | Annual number of registered unique users of the e-health care services newly created or modernised. Modernised services are to be considered in terms of new significant functionalities offered to the users. The achieved number of users is to be calculated over a period of one year after the completion of the intervention. The indicator baseline refers to the number of users during the year before the intervention starts, and it is zero forservices newly created. |
| 11 | Data collection | Supported projects |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | One year after the completion of output in the supported project |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator |  |
| 17 | Notes |  |

**GSR61 People crossing the new border station (for the Strategic Project)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Row ID** | **Field** | **Indicator metadata** |
| 0 | Fund relevance | ERDF JTF |
| 1 | **Indicator code** | **RCR73** |
| 2 | **Indicator name** | **Annual users of new or modernised health care facilities** |
| 2b | Indicator code and short name(open data name) | RCR73 Health: Annual users of health care facilities |
| 3 | Measurement unit | users/year |
| 4 | Type of indicator | result |
| 5 | Baseline | 0 |
| 6 | Milestone 2024 | not required |
| 7 | Target 2029 | >0 |
| 8 | Policy objective | PO4 Social Europe and under JTF |
| 9 | Specific objective | RSO4.5 Access to health care and under JTF |
| 10 | Definition and concepts | Number of patients served by the new or modernised health care facility during the year after the completion of the intervention. One individual can be counted more than once if using facilities multiple times. The indicator baseline refers to the registered patients served at least once by the health care facility during the year before the start of the intervention, and it can be zero for new facilities.Healthcare facilities include hospitals, clinics, outpatient care centers, specialized care centers etc. |
| 11 | Data collection | Supported projects |
| 12 | Time measurementachieved | One year starting with the time when the new or modernised health carefacility becomes operational. |
| 13 | Aggregation issues |  |
| 14 | Reporting | Rule 1: Reporting by specific objectiveForecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). |
| 15 | References |  |
| 16 | Correspondingcorporate indicator | CCR19 |
| 17 | Notes |  |